WO 1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 9 United States of America, No. CR 11-1166-PHX-GMS Plaintiff, 10 **DETENTION ORDER** 11 VS. Pedro Gonzalez-Garcia, 12 Defendant. 13 14 15 In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), the issue of detention was 16 addressed at a hearing on February 3, 2012. The Court was informed that the defendant is 17 subject to other custody and is not eligible for release at this time. 18 The Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant is subject to other 19 custody and should be similarly detained in this case. If circumstances change such that defendant is no longer in custody in the other matter, defendant shall have leave to request that 20 21 the matter of detention be reopened in this case. 22 IT IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending further proceedings. The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative 23 24

defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of the Court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in

28

25

26

27

charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a Court proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that should a motion for review/reconsideration of this detention order be filed, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2012.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge